

**Investigating the effects of three needling parameters
(manipulation, retention time, insertion site) on needling
sensation and pain profiles and regional pressure pain
threshold: a study of eight deep needling interventions**

Bertrand Yew Kian Loyeung BHlth Sci (TCM), BTech (Eng)

A thesis submitted as partial requirement for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Science
University of Technology, Sydney

June 2013

Certificate of original authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis

Signature of student:

Date:

Acknowledgements

This research study would not have been possible without the academic, scientific and technical help and contribution from many individuals at the University of Technology, Sydney. My sincere appreciation goes to:

My principal supervisor, Dr Deirdre Cobbin, for not only being present during the thirteen months of data collection, but also for her valuable guidance in planning and executing this project. Deirdre also co-authored a publication that involved a section of the actual project. Finally, her intellectual input and her ability to critically edit the writing of the thesis has significantly contributed to the drafting of this thesis and to the development of my writing and reasoning skills.

The 24 subjects who willingly completed all eight treatment sessions and also to the School of Molecular and Medical Biosciences for providing the facilities required to conduct the data collection phase of the project.

My co-supervisor, Dr Chris Zaslowski for administering all the interventions required for the project and for initial introduction to the statistical program Minitab.

Ms Rochelle Seneviratne, from the Faculty of Science Research Office, who supported my efforts and assisted with the administration of my candidature.

The Research Office at the University of Technology which provided The Thesis Completion Equity Grant and the National Institute of Complementary Medicine (NICM), which provided the financial support required to complete the project.

My colleague, Victoria Choi for her patient and diligent assistance in proofreading the evolving thesis drafts.

My family for their unflagging support during the various stages of the project.

Abstract

Background: Since 1999, research studies on the effect of acupuncture on regional pressure pain threshold (PPT) have been carried out at the College of Traditional Chinese Medicine, University of Technology, Sydney. The current study extended the previous research by investigating three needling parameters (needle retention time, needle manipulation and site of needle insertion) on the strength and quality of needling sensation (*deqi*) and on the strength of needling pain.

Aims: To investigate the effect of three needling parameters (needle manipulation, needle retention time and site of needle insertion) on:

- (a) the strength and quality of needling sensation reported,
- (b) the strength of pain at the needling site and
- (c) regional PPT measured at ten regional sites.

Methods: The design used in this study was a dual blind (subject and assessor) within subject experimental design with randomised repeated measures. Twenty-four healthy subjects (12 males and 12 females) completed eight interventions scheduled at least one week apart. In each intervention manual acupuncture to LI4 or to a designated nonacupoint (NAP) was applied on the hand. Real or simulated manipulation was applied every three minutes and the needle was retained for either one or 21 minutes. PPT measurements were completed before, during and following the 21-minute intervention period using an algometer at ten regional sites across the body. Intensities of needling sensation and pain were measured using a 100mm visual analogue scale (VAS) every three minutes and sensation qualities were reported post-intervention. The eight interventions comprised the following parameters:

Intervention	Site	Retention time	Manipulation
LI4m ⁺¹	LI4	1 minute	present
LI4m ⁻¹	LI4	1 minute	absent(simulated manipulation)
LI4m ⁺²¹	LI4	21 minutes	present
LI4m ⁻²¹	LI4	21 minutes	absent (simulated manipulation)
NAPm ⁺¹	NAP	1 minute	present
NAPm ⁻¹	NAP	1 minute	absent(simulated manipulation)
NAPm ⁺²¹	NAP	21 minutes	present
NAPm ⁻²¹	NAP	21 minutes	absent(simulated manipulation)

Results: Independent of the site of measurement (where the mean % PPT of all ten sites were combined for each intervention), the post-intervention mean % PPT were significantly elevated for all eight interventions. LI4m⁺²¹ produced the highest increase (9.1%) and LI4m⁻²¹ the lowest (3.7%). In terms of comparisons by site, the post-intervention mean % PPT were significantly elevated at all ten sites for the following interventions LI4m⁺²¹, NAPm⁻²¹ and NAPm⁺¹; at nine sites for NAPm⁺²¹, LI4m⁻¹ and NAPm⁻¹, at seven sites for LI4m⁺¹ and at only one site for LI4m⁻²¹. No significant difference was found regarding the subjects' mean anxiety and tension levels and the acupuncturist's behaviour among the interventions. Immediately post-insertion, mean needle sensation and pain scores were similar for all eight interventions. At all other measurement intervals, irrespective of insertion site (LI4 or NAP), only the two interventions with needle manipulation every three minutes and with needle retention for 21 minutes maintained statistically significantly elevated needle sensation and pain scores.

Conclusions: The study did not find any clear relationship between the three needling parameters on regional PPT. However, it has shown that needle insertion is followed by an elevation in PPT above baseline levels that persists after needle removal. Presence or absence of needle manipulation and the duration of needle retention were important variables in terms of the intensity of needle sensation and pain. Similar needle sensation qualities and intensities were elicited at both the acupoint and the nonacupoint. This study also found that, irrespective of needling location, deep needling for 21 minutes with ongoing manipulation elicited and maintained elevated levels of needling pain and needling sensation. The study failed to provide findings that support the common Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) assumptions or assertions that *deqi* is necessary or essential for eliciting a physiological effect.

Supporting communications and publications

- Loyeung BYK, Cobbin DM. 2013 Investigating the effects of three needling parameters (manipulation, retention time, insertion site) on needling sensation and pain profiles: a study of eight deep needling interventions. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 2013: Article ID 136763, 12 pages, 2013. doi:10.1155/2013/136763.

Poster presentation:

- Loyeung BYK, Cobbin DM, Zaslawski C. 2012 Investigating the effects of the site of needle insertion, needle manipulation and retention time on *deqi* (needle sensation). 2012 Australian Acupuncture & Chinese Medicine Association conference, Brisbane.
- Loyeung BYK, Cobbin DM. 2013 Is needling retention time important? New Horizons conference, 18-20 November 2013, Royal North Shore Hospital, Sydney.

Contents

Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	iv
Supporting communications and publications	v
Contents	vi
Tables	ix
Figures	x
Chapter I: Introduction	1
Chapter II: Literature review	7
2.1 Acupuncture and PPT	7
2.2 Measuring PPT	13
2.3 Analgesic effect of acupuncture	14
2.4 Acupuncture and needle retention time	16
2.5 Acupuncture and <i>deqi</i>	18
Chapter III: Methods	20
3.1 Introduction	20
3.2 Subjects	20
3.3 Methods	21
3.3.1 Design	21
3.3.2 Regional PPT measurement sites	23
3.3.3 Location of ST36 ^R , PC6 ^L and LI10 ^L , 1 ^L , 2 ^L and 3 ^L	25
3.3.4 Measurement of the subjects' perceptions of needle sensation and pain	27
3.3.5 Measurement of regional PPT	28
3.3.6 Subjects' perceptions concerning each intervention period	30
3.3.7 Blinding procedures	31
3.4 Statistical analysis	32
3.4.1 PPT measurements	32
3.4.2 Needling sensation, pain intensity, anxiety, tension and acupuncturist's behaviour	32

Chapter IV: Results	33
4.1 Introduction	33
Part I: Post-intervention changes in regional PPT	33
4.2 Within and between intervention comparisons (independent of site)	33
4.3 Within intervention comparisons (by site)	34
4.4 Between intervention comparisons (by site)	37
4.5 Examination of the effect of duration of needle retention on change in mean PPT from baseline (1 minute vs 21 minutes)	39
4.5.1 Comparison pair: site LI4; manipulation present	39
4.5.2 Comparison pair: site LI4; manipulation absent	40
4.5.3 Comparison pair: site NAP; manipulation present	41
4.5.4 Comparison pair: site NAP; manipulation absent	41
4.5.5 Summary: one minute or 21 minutes retention time	42
4.6 Examination of the effect of duration of needling insertion on change in mean PPT from baseline (LI4 vs NAP)	42
4.6.1 Comparison pair: duration 21 minutes; manipulation present	42
4.6.2 Comparison pair: duration 21 minutes; manipulation absent	43
4.6.3 Comparison pair: duration one minute; manipulation present	44
4.6.4 Comparison pair: duration one minute; manipulation absent	44
4.6.5 Summary: LI4 or NAP	45
4.7 Examination of the effect of needle manipulation on change in mean PPT from baseline (manipulation vs no manipulation)	46
4.7.1 Comparison pair: site LI4; duration 21 minutes	46
4.7.2 Comparison pair: site LI4; duration one minute	47
4.7.3 Comparison pair: site NAP; duration 21 minutes	47
4.7.4 Comparison pair: site NAP; duration one minute	48
4.7.5 Summary: manipulation present or absent	48
4.8 Overall summary of significant differences identified in the above sets of comparison pairs	49
4.9 Comparison of subjects' perceptions of the acupuncture experience among interventions	50
4.10 Comparison of the distribution of regional PPT effects by intervention	53

Part II: Needling pain, sensation and regional PPT profiles during the intervention phase	54
4.11 Introduction	54
4.12 Needle sensation and pain intensity profiles during the intervention period	54
4.13 Relationship between pain and needle sensation perceptions	56
4.14 Qualities of needle sensation: needling sensation descriptors	59
4.15 Regional PPT profiles during the intervention period	62
4.16 Summary of results	66
Chapter V: Discussion	67
Part I: Post-intervention changes in regional PPT	67
Part II: Needling pain, sensation and regional PPT profiles during the intervention phase	76
Chapter VI: Conclusion	80
References	84
Appendices	
Appendix I: Information sheet for all participants	90
Appendix II: Consent form for all participants	92
Appendix III: The 100mm VAS sheet for recording the subject's belief's in the effectiveness of acupuncture and willingness to receive acupuncture as a therapy	93
Appendix IV: Table showing the type of needle sensation reported by each subject and intervention at different time interval during the intervention period	94
Appendix V: Table showing the mean % PPT change differences and p values between paired interventions (by site)	100
Appendix VI: Statistical analysis tables and scatterplots	101
1. ANOVA tables and p values of part I of the study (Post-intervention changes in regional PPT)	101
2. ANOVA tables and p values of part II of the study (Needling pain, sensation and regional PPT profiles during the intervention phase) and scatterplots	145
Appendix VII: An inter-text comparison of the operational definitions of the acupoint LI4	153
Appendix VIII: Summary of the needling parameters used in the studies that were reviewed in section 2.1	154

Tables

Table 3.1: Demographic data for the 24 subjects.	21
Table 3.2: Description of the anatomical location of the ten measurements sites, including their respective methods of location and their channel or segmental relationships to LI4.	25
Table 4a.1: Mean percentage change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the eight interventions.	33
Table 4a.2: Mean % change in PPT from pre-intervention values and 95% confidence intervals for the eight interventions for the ten individual measurement sites.	35
Table 4a.3: Between intervention comparisons by site showing only the sites and interventions where there were statistically significant differences between a pair of PPT means.	38
Table 4a.4: The number and direction of statistically significant differences for the various study comparison pairs.	49
Table 4a.5: Comparison of mean percentage scores for pain, needle sensation, tension, anxiety and changes in acupuncturist's behaviour, each recorded on 100mm VAS by subjects for the 21 minutes of each intervention.	50
Table 4a.6: Pearson product moment correlation coefficient r and r^2 for the VAS scores for pain, needle sensation, tension, anxiety, recorded for the 21 minutes intervention period for the eight interventions.	52
Table 4a.7: Summary of observed distribution of statistically significant changes to regional PPT by intervention, and the distribution that would be predicted by neural segment theory or by TCM channel (in the case of LI4 interventions).	53
Table 4b.1: Total Number (N) and intensity (I) of sensory descriptors reported for the eight interventions.	60
Table 4b.2: Mean percentage change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the combined three regional measurement sites, measured at intervals during the 21 minute intervention phase.	64
Table 5.1: Mean percentage increase in PPT from baseline elicited following the same LI4 intervention (21 minutes deep needling with manipulation) at each of the regional sites used in each study.	67
Table 5.2: Mean percentage increase in PPT from baseline elicited following the same NAP intervention (21 minutes deep needling with manipulation) at each of the regional sites for the three studies that included this intervention.	68

Figures

Figure 2.1: An algometer showing the black rubber plunger (surface area of 1cm ²) connected to a spring loaded shaft. The scale indicates the force applied in kilogram per cm ² .	14
Figure 3.1: Location of LI4 and NAP in relation to the two extra acupoints <i>luozhen</i> and <i>yao tong xue</i> .	23
Figure 3.2: Anatomical location of the regional PPT measurement sites. The measurement sequence by site was: KI3 ^R , 3 ^R , ST36 ^R , LI5 ^L , 1 ^L , PC6 ^L , 2 ^L , LI10 ^L , LI20 ^R , GB12 ^R .	24
Figure 3.3: The 100mm VAS used to record subject's perceptions relating to the 21 minutes intervention period for each experimental session.	31
Figure 3.4: Timeline of the intervention sequencing for interventions with 21-minute needle retention.	29
Figure 3.5: Timeline of the intervention sequencing for interventions with one minute needle retention.	29
Figure 3.6: Timeline for all PPT measurement cycles.	30
Figure 4a.1: Mean percentage change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the eight interventions.	34
Figure 4a.2: The 80 comparison cells shown in Table 4.2 colour coded with respect to the levels of statistical significance of mean % changes in regional PPT from pre-intervention levels.	36
Figure 4a.3: Mean % change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following the pair of LI4 interventions with manipulation present and with needle retention times of either one minute or 21 minutes.	39
Figure 4a.4: Mean % change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following the pair of LI4 interventions without manipulation and with needle retention times of either one minute or 21 minutes.	40
Figure 4a.5: Mean % change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following the pair of NAP interventions with manipulation present and with needle retention times of either one minute or 21 minutes.	41
Figure 4a.6: Mean % change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following the pair of NAP interventions with manipulation absent and with needle retention times of either one minute or 21 minutes.	41

Figure 4a.7: Mean % change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following the pair of 21 minute needle retention interventions with manipulation present and at either needling site LI4 or NAP.	42
Figure 4a.8: Mean % change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following the pair of 21 minute needle retention interventions with manipulation absent and at either needling site LI4 or NAP.	43
Figure 4a.9: Mean % change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following the pair of 1 minute needle retention interventions with manipulation present and at either needling site LI4 or NAP.	44
Figure 4a.10: Mean % change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following the pair of one minute needle retention interventions with manipulation absent and at either needling site LI4 or NAP.	44
Figure 4a.11: Mean % change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following the pair of LI4 interventions with needle retention times of 21 minutes and with manipulation either present or absent.	46
Figure 4a.12: Mean % change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following the pair of LI4 interventions with needle retention times of one minute and with manipulation either present or absent.	47
Figure 4a.13: Mean % change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following the pair of NAP interventions with needle retention times of 21 minutes and with manipulation either present or absent.	47
Figure 4a.14: Mean % change in PPT from pre-intervention mean for the ten regional measurement sites, following the pair of NAP interventions with needle retention times of one minute and with manipulation either present or absent.	48
Figure 4a.15: Comparison of the pain experienced, needle sensation, feeling of tension, anxiety and acupuncturist's behaviour change between interventions.	51
Figure 4b.1: The mean sensation intensity scores (left hand graph) and the mean pain intensity scores (right hand graph) for the eight interventions at three minute intervals during the 21 minute intervention period.	54
Figure 4b.2: Comparison of the eight interventions with respect to the number of subjects at each three minute recording interval who had: neither pain nor needle sensation; both pain and needle sensation; only pain; or only needle sensation.	56
Figure 4b.3: Comparison within each of the eight interventions, of the number of subjects at each three minute recording interval who had neither pain nor needle sensation; both pain and needle sensation; only pain; or only needle sensation.	58
Figure 4b.4: Profiles for each intervention for the frequency (N) and intensity (I) of sensory descriptors reported from each descriptor category list.	61

Figure 4b.5: Profiles for the mean % increase in regional PPT for the three regional sites measured during and post-intervention, shown separately for the eight interventions. 63

Figure 4b.6: Profiles for the mean % increase in regional PPT for the combined regional site measurements during and post-intervention, shown for the eight interventions. 65